

# **Office of Inspector General**

Appalachian Regional Commission

# **Semiannual Report to Congress**

April 1, 2025 – September 30, 2025

Appalachian Regional Commission Office of Inspector General 1666 Connecticut Avenue, Suite 718 Washington, D.C. 20009



# Office of the Federal Co-Chair

November 5, 2025

This letter transmits the Appalachian Regional Commission's Inspector General's Semiannual Report to Congress for the period April 1, 2025 through September 30, 2025. As required by Section 405(c) of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, we are also submitting statistical tables as an attachment to this letter.

The Commission appreciates the Inspector General's efforts to ensure the effectiveness, efficiency, and integrity of our operations.

If you or your staff have any questions about this report, please contact me at (202) 884-7681 or Inspector General Clayton Fox at (202) 884-7675.

Sincerely,

Gayle C. Manchin Federal Co-Chair

Layle C. Manchin

October 30, 2025

#### Commissioners:

Attached is the Semiannual Report summarizing the activities of the Office of Inspector General for the period of April 1, 2025 to September 30, 2025.

During this reporting period our office issued 23 reports and made 35 recommendations.

I want to assure you that my staff and I are committed to a Commission that operates with efficiency, effectiveness, and integrity. We look forward to continuing to work with you, the Commission staff, and Congress to provide independent and effective oversight of the Appalachian Regional Commission's programs and operations.

I would like to thank you for your commitment to strengthening the integrity of the Commission's operations and for your support of the Office of Inspector General.

Clayton Fox

**Inspector General** 

**Inspector General Semiannual Report** 

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Office of Inspector General	1
Semiannual Report	1
Semiannual Report	1
Summary of Prior Period Reports	2
Management Decisions Made for Recommendations Issued in Prior Periods	2
Unimplemented Recommendations From Prior Periods and Potential Cost Savings.	2
Description of Significant Problems, Abuses, or Deficiencies	2
Hotline and Investigations	3
Investigations and Inquiries: Overview	3
<u>.                                      </u>	
Assistance to or From Other Offices of Inspector General	4
Congressional Activities	5
Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency	5
Federal Financial Management Improvement Act Reporting	5
Page Povious	5

### **Inspector General Semiannual Report**

### **Appendix A: Inspector General's Tables**

Table 1:	Reporting Requirements Index	A-1
Table 2:	Inspector General Reports Issued During this Reporting Period	A-2
Table 3:	Management Decisions Made for Recommendations from Prior Periods	A-3
Table 4:	Recommendations with Questioned Costs and Final Action Completed	A-4
Table 5:	Recommendations Without Final Action –	
	Audit Reports Issued This Period	A-5
Table 6:	Recommendations Without Final Action –	
	Audit Reports Issued in Prior Periods	A-7
Table 7:	Status of Audit Reports Issued with Final Action Completed	A-9
Table 8:	Status of Audit Reports Issued Without Final Action	4-10
Table 9:	Statistical Table of Investigative Reports	4-11
Appendix	B: Commissioner's Statistical Tables	
Table A:	Management Decisions Made for Recommendations from Prior Periods .	.B-1
Table B:	Recommendations with Final Action Completed	
	During this Reporting Period	.B-1
Table C:	Status of Reports with Questioned Costs	
Table D:	Prior Year Management Decisions Without Final Action	.B-3

### **Inspector General Semiannual Report**

#### Office of Inspector General

The Appalachian Regional Commission (Commission) established the Office of Inspector General when the *Inspector General Act* (IG Act) was amended in 1988. Our office provides audit, evaluation, inspection, and investigative services covering all Commission programs and operations. Our mission is to promote and preserve the effectiveness, efficiency, and integrity of the Commission. We plan and conduct our activities based on several factors: requirements of laws and regulations, requests from management officials, allegations received from Commission personnel and other sources, and the Inspector General's initiative.

#### **Semiannual Report**

The IG Act requires each Inspector General to prepare a report twice a year that summarizes the activities of the office. This Semiannual Report covers the period from April 1, 2025, through September 30, 2025. The 17 reporting requirements specified in the IG Act are shown in Appendix A, Table 1 and must be included in the report.

#### **Inspector General Reports Issued During This Period**

The Inspector General issued 23 reports during this reporting period. 22 of the reports issued were audits of grant awards. The grant audits were designed to determine whether the grantee had sound management practices in place to administer the financial and performance aspects of the award. The Inspector General also issued one investigative report during this reporting period. A complete list of reports issued during this reporting period is provided in Appendix A, Table 2.

### **Inspector General Semiannual Report**

#### **Summary of Prior Period Reports**

#### **Management Decisions Made for Recommendations Issued in Prior Periods**

At the beginning of this reporting period, the Commission had provided management decisions for all final reports we issued in prior periods. Summary information related to the management decisions received is provided in Appendix A, Table 3.

#### **Unimplemented Recommendations From Prior Periods and Potential Cost Savings**

At the beginning of this reporting period, the Commission had 38 recommendations from thirteen previous reports without final action. Twenty-three recommendations from eight of those reports are still awaiting final action.

A complete list of unimplemented recommendations from prior periods, including any potential cost savings, is provided in Appendix A, Table 6.

#### **Description of Significant Problems, Abuses, or Deficiencies**

The Inspector General has the discretion to determine if the results of an audit, inspection, evaluation, or other report are significant to the administration and operations of the Commission. In making this determination, the Inspector General considers quantitative and qualitative factors, such as the nature of the problem or deficiency, potential monetary impacts, and materiality as it relates to the integrity of the Commission's programs and operations.

The Inspector General did not identify any problems, abuses, or deficiencies that rose to this level of significance during this reporting period.

### **Inspector General Semiannual Report**

#### **Hotline and Investigations**

#### **Investigations and Inquiries: Overview**

One of our functions is to conduct investigations and inquiries of criminal, civil, and administrative wrongdoing involving Commission programs, operations, and personnel. We may investigate possible violations of criminal law, of regulations on employee responsibilities and conduct, and of other statutes and regulations covering Commission activities.

Our office reviews and analyzes each complaint received to decide the appropriate course of action and, if appropriate, conducts a preliminary inquiry. If the information we find during the preliminary inquiry indicates that a full investigation is appropriate, we will launch an investigation.

#### **OIG Hotline Contacts**

Our office maintains a hotline for reporting information about suspected waste, fraud, abuse, or mismanagement involving Commission programs or operations. The information may come to us in person; by telephone, fax, email, or mail; or through a web-based form. When requested, we will endeavor to keep a provider's identity confidential. Complaints may also be made anonymously.

We receive complaints from employees, contractors, grantees, and the public that involve the Commission's areas of responsibility. We examine these complaints to determine whether there is any indication of wrongdoing or misconduct by grantees or the Commission. If the complaint does not relate to the Commission or its grantees, we refer the complaint to the appropriate entity for action. If the complaint does not have merit, we close the matter.

#### **Investigations Closed During the Reporting Period**

The Office of Inspector General closed one investigation during this reporting period.

#### **Summary of Matters Referred to Prosecuting Authorities**

The Office of Inspector General did not refer any matters to prosecuting authorities during this reporting period.

### **Inspector General Semiannual Report**

# **Investigations with Substantiated Allegations Involving Senior Government Employees**

The Office of Inspector General did not issue any investigative reports that substantiated allegations involving senior government employees.

#### **Summary of Reports Not Disclosed to the Public**

#### **Audits and Other Reports to Management**

The Office of Inspector General did not issue any inspections, evaluations, or audits that were not disclosed to the public during this reporting period.

#### **Investigations**

The Office of Inspector General did not issue any investigative reports that were not disclosed to the public during this reporting period.

#### **External Oversight Reviews**


The Commission's administrative operations and programs are reviewed, from time-totime, by Federal oversight entities external to the Commission, such as the Government Accountability Office and Office of Government Ethics.

The Commission did not receive any reports from an external oversight entity during this reporting period and had no outstanding recommendations from prior periods.

#### **Assistance to or From Other Offices of Inspector General**

Section 406(a)(3) of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, gives the Inspector General the authority to obtain assistance from any other Federal agency to carry out the duties and responsibilities assigned by the Act. We currently have two Memorandums of Understanding with the Office of Inspector General of the International Trade Commission for technical assistance and legal support. We also have a Memorandum of Understanding with the Office of Inspector General of the National Archives and Records Administration for legal support. Finally, we have a Memorandum of Understanding with

### **Inspector General Semiannual Report**



Our office had a modified peer review report completed of our audit function by the Denali Commission's Office of Inspector General. The report was issued on July 30, 2024, and determined the established policies and procedures for the audit function were current and consistent with applicable professional standards. The report did not contain any recommendations.

The next peer review of our audit function will be conducted in accordance with the schedule set by the Council of Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency.

**Appendix A: Inspector General's Tables** 

#### <u>Table 1: Reporting Requirements Index</u>

The table below identifies the 17 reporting requirements from the IG Act that must be included in the semiannual report along with the corresponding page number where the information can be found in this report.

Reporting Requirements Index	
Description	Page
Review of Legislation	None
Description of Significant Problems, Abuses, and Deficiencies	2
Recommendations from Prior Periods and Potential Cost Savings	2, A-9
Summary of Significant Investigations Closed this Period	None
Total Number of Convictions from Investigations this Period	None
Each Report Issued During This Reporting Period	A-2
Management Decisions Made This Reporting Period for Recommendations Issued in Prior Reports	2, A-3
Information Described Under Section 804(b) of FFMIA	5
Results of Peer Review Completed During This Period or Date of Last Peer Review	5
Outstanding Recommendations from Peer Review	None
Peer Reviews Conducted of Another Office of Inspector General During This Period	None
Statistical Table: Investigative Reports	A-11
Description of the Metrics Used for Developing Investigative Statistics	A-11
Investigations With Substantiated Misconduct Allegations Involving Senior Government Employees	None
Description of Any Whistleblower Retaliation	None
Description of Attempts to Interfere with Inspector General Independence	None
Summary of Reports Not Disclosed to the Public	None

**Appendix A: Inspector General's Tables** 

#### Table 2: Inspector General Reports Issued During this Reporting Period

The table below provides a list of each report issued by the Inspector General during this reporting period. For each report, we provide the number of recommendations issued and the number of management decisions received. The table also includes the amount of questioned costs, unsupported costs, and funds that could be put to better use. Each report can be viewed at <a href="https://www.oversight.gov/reports">https://www.oversight.gov/reports</a>.

	Report	s Issued Du	uring th	is Reportin	g Period		
Report Number	Report Title	Date Issued	# of Recs.	Mgt. Decisions	Questioned Costs	Unsupported Costs	Funds Put to Better Use
25-23	City of Athens	4/29/2025	1	1	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$0
INV-25-01	Love Must Win	5/15/2025	2	2	\$0	\$0	\$0
25-24	The Harvest Foundation	5/29/2025	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
25-25	Allegany County Commissioners	6/2/2025	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
25-26	LENOWISCO Planning District Commission	6/5/2025	3	3	\$0	\$0	\$0
25-27	Millwald Theatre Inc.	6/30/2025	4	4	\$693,374	\$693,374	\$0
25-28	South Cumberland Utility District	7/17/2025	2	2	\$0	\$0	\$0
25-29	Decatur - Morgan County Port Authority (DMPCA)	7/30/2025	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
25-30	Cocke County Partnership, Inc.	7/30/2025	5	5	\$533,109	\$533,109	\$0
25-31	Keystone College	7/30/2025	4	4	\$80,000	\$80,000	\$0
25-32	Erie Area Council of Governments	7/30/2025	2	2	\$5,134	\$5,134	\$0
25-33	Wilkes Recovery Revolution, Inc.	7/31/2025	1	1	\$0	\$0	\$0
25-34	Southern Tier West Regional Planning and Development Board		4	4	\$46,900	\$46,900	\$0
25-35	Blount County Education		0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
25-36	UPMC Western Maryland Corporation	8/21/2025	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
25-37	Appalachian Community Capital	8/27/2025	3	3	\$0	\$0	\$0
25-38	Challenger Learning Center of Kentucky	9/10/2025	1	1	\$0	\$0	\$0
25-39	Hamot Health Foundation	9/16/2025	1	1	\$0	\$0	\$0
25-40	Pollen8	9/16/2025	2	2	\$4,555	\$4,555	\$0
25-41	Tippah County Board of Supervisors	9/16/2025	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Eastern Panhandle Regional Planning and Development Council		9/16/2025	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
25-43	County of Crawford	9/25/2025	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
25-44	French Broad Electric Membership Corporation	9/25/2025	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		Totals	35	35	\$1,373,072	\$1,373,072	\$0

**Appendix A: Inspector General's Tables** 

#### Table 3: Management Decisions Made for Recommendations from Prior Periods

This table identifies the audit reports with recommendations issued in previous reporting periods, where the management decision was received during this reporting period. All recommendations issued in previous reporting periods had management decisions made prior to the start of the current reporting period.

	Management Decisions Made for Recommendations from Prior Periods							
Report Number	Title	# of Recs.	Mgt. Decisions Made During Prior Periods	Mgt. Decisions Made During This Period	Potential Cost Savings			
-	-	-	-	-	\$0			
	Totals	0	0	0	\$0			

**Appendix A: Inspector General's Tables** 

#### Table 4: Recommendations with Questioned Costs and Final Action Completed

The table below identifies recommendations with questioned costs where final action was completed during this reporting period. The information in the table is subdivided to distinguish between recommendations issued during this reporting period and recommendations issued in prior reporting periods. The table includes the amount of questioned costs identified in the audit report.

	Recommendations with Questioned Costs with Final Action Completed						
	Audit Reports Issued During this Reporting Period						
Report Number	- I ITIP						
25-23	25-23-01	City of Athens	\$10,000				
		Subtotal	\$10,000				
		Audit Reports Issued In Prior Reporting Periods					
Report Number	Rec. Number	Title	<b>Questioned Costs</b>				
24-32	24-32-01	Infinity Visual and Performing Arts, Inc.	\$5,000				
24-32	24-32-02	Infinity Visual and Performing Arts, Inc.	\$17,383				
24-32	24-32-03	Infinity Visual and Performing Arts, Inc.	\$14,956				
24-32	24-32-04	Infinity Visual and Performing Arts, Inc.	\$40,400				
24-40	24-40-01	Southern Highlands Community Mental Health Center, Inc.	\$20,083				
24-40	24-40-03	Southern Highlands Community Mental Health Center, Inc.	\$2,565				
24-40	24-40-04	Southern Highlands Community Mental Health Center, Inc.	\$4,738				
25-14	25-14-03	West Virginia Rural Water Association (WVRWA)	\$44,420				
25-14	25-14-04	West Virginia Rural Water Association (WVRWA)	\$834				
		Subtotal	\$150,379				
		Total	\$160,379				

**Appendix A: Inspector General's Tables** 

### <u>Table 5: Recommendations Without Final Action – Audit Reports Issued This Period</u>

The table below identifies recommendations from audit reports issued during this reporting period where final action had not been completed. The table includes the recommendation number and any potential cost savings.

	Recommendations Without Final Action Completed						
			Audit Reports Issued During this Reporting Period				
	Report Number	Rec. Number	Recommendation				
1	25-26	25-26-01	Revise policies and procedures to ensure accurate, current, and complete financial results are reported on all Federal awards.	\$0			
2	25-26	25-26-02	Work with ARC to revise the non-ARC matching cost share amount final BAMR to include the costs incurred of \$2,381,000 for A&E fees and the in-kind land acquisition, if requested.	\$0			
3	25-26	25-26-03	Revise policies and procedures to include procedures for determining the allowability of costs to comply with the Uniform Guidance and ensure costs incurred under Federal awards are allowable and allocable.	\$0			
4	25-27	25-27-01	Work with ARC to resolve the costs questioned totaling \$693,374 for non-ARC match construction costs.	\$693,374			
5	25-27	25-27-02	Develop policies and procedures for determining the allowability and allocability of costs, record retention, and procurement standards as required under the Uniform Guidance.	\$0			
6	25-27	25-27-03	Develop policies and procedures to ensure GL grant expenditures are reconciled with supporting documentation to reflect accurate reporting of financial information.	\$0			
7	25-27	25-27-04	Work with ARC to resolve the reporting discrepancies of non-ARC matching cost share expenditures to reflect the total cost incurred.	\$0			
8	25-28	25-28-01	We recommend that the Grantee develop and implement written policies and procedures surrounding the management of Federal awards, in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and the ARC grant manual.	\$0			
9	25-28	25-28-02	We recommend that the Grantee establish a proper accounting system to accurately maintain and track grant records of funds related to Federally-funded activities, in accordance with Federal requirements.	\$0			
10	25-30	25-30-01	Establish policies and procedures for administrative and financial management including determining the allowability and allocability of costs, record retention, procurement, internal control, tracking of costs by approved budget categories, reconciliation of costs to financial reports, and timely submission of performance progress and financial reports in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and grant agreement.	\$0			
11	25-30	25-30-02	Work with ARC to resolve the questioned cost totaling \$456,942 for ARC funded costs.	\$456,942			
12	25-30	25-30-03	Work with ARC to resolve the questioned cost totaling \$75,000 for non-ARC matching cost share.	\$75,000			
13	25-30	25-30-04	Revise and resubmit the SF-270 and the related reimbursement table to reflect the accurate budget category of Contractual cost instead of Personnel.	\$0			

### **Appendix A: Inspector General's Tables**

14	25-30	25-30-05	Work with ARC to resolve the questioned cost totaling \$1,167 for non-ARC Matching cost share.	\$1,167
15	25-31	25-31-01	We recommend that the Grantee develop and implement formal written policies and procedures surrounding the management of Federal awards, in accordance with the Uniform Guidance.	\$0
16	25-31	25-31-02	We recommend that the Grantee work with ARC, to resolve the \$45,000 of questioned costs.	\$45,000
17	25-31	25-31-03	We recommend that the Grantee work with ARC, to resolve the \$35,000 of questioned costs.	\$35,000
18	25-31	25-31-04	We recommend that the Grantee work with ARC to resolve \$12,775 of unallowed pre-award cost following the resolution of Recommendation 3 as the funds are included as part of the \$35,000 questioned in Finding 2025-002.	\$0
19	25-32	25-32-01	We recommend that the Grantee develop and implement written policies and procedures surrounding the management of Federal awards; specifically addressing the reasonableness, allocability, and allowability of costs incurred and charged to grants, as required by Federal regulations.	\$0
20	25-32	25-32-02	We recommend that the Grantee work with ARC, to resolve the \$5,134.	\$5,134
21	25-34	25-34-01	Establish internal controls to ensure all in-kind contributions are properly supported by documentation to establish the method used to determine the value of in-kind contributions in accordance with the Uniform Guidance requirements based on the type of cost and source of in-kind contributions.	\$0
22	25-34	25-34-02	Establish internal controls to ensure STW retains accurate and complete supporting documentation in accordance with record retention requirements in the ARC grant agreement and the Uniform Guidance.	\$0
23	25-34	25-34-03	Work with ARC to resolve the non-ARC in-kind questioned costs of \$46,900 to reflect the \$275 rental fee rate.	\$46,900
24	25-34	25-34-04	Establish policies and procedures for the timely submission of progress reports in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and grant agreement	\$0
25	25-37	25-37-01	Develop and implement formal written policies and procedures to ensure all subaward agreements fully comply with federal requirements, including the inclusion of the Federal Award Identification Number. These procedures should also require that subrecipients provide final written certification to the federal awarding agency or pass-through entity at the conclusion of each federal award, confirming that the project or activity was completed or that the agreed-upon level of effort was expended.	\$0
26	25-37	25-37-02	Provide staff training on these policies and procedures, and establish a process for periodic internal reviews or monitoring to verify compliance.	\$0
27	25-37	25-37-03	Establish and implement policies and procedures to ensure timely preparation and submission of financial and progress reports in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and ARC grant agreement requirements.	\$0
28	25-38	25-38-01	We recommend that the Grantee develop and implement formal written policies and procedures surrounding the management of Federal awards, in accordance with the Uniform Guidance.	\$0
29	25-39	25-39-01	We recommend that the Grantee establish policies and procedures for the timely submission of progress reports in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and grant agreement.	\$0
30	25-40	25-40-01	Revise policies and procedures over the maintenance of accounting records to ensure costs incurred for Federal awards are accurately recorded and supported by documentation prior to reporting financial information to ARC.	\$0

**Appendix A: Inspector General's Tables** 

31	25-40	25-40-02	Work with ARC to resolve the questioned costs totaling \$4,231 of ARC funded personnel cost and \$324 of ARC funded fringe benefits cost.	\$4,555
			Subtotal	\$1,363,072

### <u>Table 6: Recommendations Without Final Action – Audit Reports Issued in Prior Periods</u>

The table below identifies recommendations from audit reports issued in prior reporting periods where final action had not been completed. The table includes the recommendation number and any potential cost savings.

			Recommendations Without Final Action Completed				
	Reports Issued in Prior Reporting Periods						
	Report Number	Rec. Number	Recommendation	Potential Cost Savings			
1	25-08	25-08-01	Work with ARC to resolve the inaccurate reporting of \$116,808 for non-ARC match construction cost.	\$116,808			
2	25-08	25-08-02	Revise policies and procedures for financial reporting of grant costs to include quality control reviews of grant expenses to ensure costs are accurately reported to ARC.	\$0			
3	25-10	25-10-01	Work with ARC to resolve the reporting discrepancies and revise the Final SF 270 to exclude the questioned cost of \$52,706 for ARC funded cost and \$14,650 for non-ARC match costs.	\$67,356			
4	25-10	25-10-02	Work with ARC to resolve the questioned cost totaling \$25,849 for ARC funded equipment costs.	\$25,849			
5	25-10	25-10-03	Establish and implement policies and procedures for determining the allowability and allocability of costs, record retention, safeguarding of equipment, timekeeping, and procurement standards as required under the Uniform Guidance.	\$0			
6	25-11	25-11-01	We recommend that Johnson College implement its existing procurement policy, by requiring that the minimum number of price quotations are received and documented for each procurement action.	\$0			
7	25-11	25-11-02	We recommend that Johnson College work with ARC, to resolve the \$72,122 of questioned matching costs.	\$72,122			
8	25-11	25-11-03	Since the grant period had ended and the reporting section of ARC portal is no longer accessible, we recommend that Johnson College's management communicate with the ARC grant coordinator to request access to the portal in order to resubmit the missing SF-270 and Performance Progress reports.	\$0			
9	25-11	25-11-04	We recommend that Johnson College's management review and update its internal grant management procedures to include clearer guidelines on reporting during all phases of a grant, including during no-cost extension periods. Additionally, grant staff should receive training to ensure that they are aware of their reporting responsibilities.	\$0			
10	25-12	25-12-01	We recommend that the Grantee develop written policies and procedures surrounding the management of Federal awards, in accordance with the Uniform Guidance.	\$0			

### **Appendix A: Inspector General's Tables**

Total						
Subtotal						
23	25-21	25-21-02	We recommend that the Grantee work with ARC to resolve the \$3,321 in questioned, matching costs.	\$3,321		
22	25-21	25-21-01	We recommend that the Grantee develop and implement written policies and procedures surrounding the management of Federal Awards, in accordance with the Uniform Guidance.	\$0		
21	25-18	25-18-05	Implement policies and procedures to ensure that property records accurately reflect all required data elements included in the Uniform Guidance.	\$0		
20	25-18	25-18-04	Work with ARC to resolve the total questioned costs in the amount of \$58,666 of non-ARC matching construction cost.	\$58,666		
19	25-18	25-18-03	Revise policies and procedures to ensure expenditures incurred and reported align with the approved scope of work and budget of the grant project.	\$0		
18	25-18	25-18-02	Work with ARC to resolve the questioned cost totaling \$15,510 for A&E fees claimed as non-ARC matching cost.	\$15,510		
17	25-18	25-18-01	Revise their policies and procedures to improve internal controls in place over maintenance of accounting records to ensure costs incurred for Federal awards are accurately recorded and supported by documentation prior to reporting financial information.	\$0		
16	25-15	25-15-03	Work with ARC to resolve the questioned cost totaling \$134,230 for non-ARC Matching cost share construction cost.	\$134,230		
15	25-15	25-15-02	Work with ARC to resolve the questioned cost totaling \$136,730 for ARC funded construction cost.	\$136,730		
14	25-15	25-15-01	Revise its policies and procedures to align with the Uniform Guidance requirement for price to be considered as a factor in evaluating proposals for professional services other than A/E services.	\$0		
13	25-13	25-13-01	We recommend that Cattaraugus-Allegany BOCES' management develop and implement grant management policies and procedures to ensure timely preparation and submission of the required reports.	\$0		
12	25-12	25-12-03	We recommend that the Grantee work with ARC, to resolve the \$33,614 of questioned costs.	\$33,614		
11	25-12	25-12-02	We recommend that the Grantee take appropriate internal control measure to ensure adequate segregation of duties in its invoice preparation process.	\$0		

**Appendix A: Inspector General's Tables** 

### Table 7: Status of Audit Reports Issued with Final Action Completed

The table below provides a list of each audit report with final action completed during this reporting period. The information is subdivided by final action completed for audit reports issued during this period and audit reports issued in prior reporting periods.

	Status of Audit Reports Issued with Final Action Completed									
	This Rep	orting F	Period							
	Report Title	# of Recs.	Mgt. Decisions	Decisions IG Disagrees With	Final Action Complete					
1	City of Athens, 25-23	1	1	0	1					
2	The Harvest Foundation, 25-24	0	0	0	0					
3	Allegany County Commissioners, 25-25	0	0	0	0					
4	Decatur - Morgan County Port Authority (DMPCA), 25-29	0	0	0	0					
5	Wilkes Recovery Revolution, Inc., 25-33	1	1	0	1					
6	Blount County Education Foundation, Inc., 25-35	0	0	0	0					
7	UPMC Western Maryland Corporation, 25-36	0	0	0	0					
8	Tippah County Board of Supervisors, 25-41	0	0	0	0					
9	Eastern Panhandle Regional Planning and Development Council, 25-42	0	0	0	0					
10	County of Crawford, 25-43	0	0	0	0					
11	French Broad Electric Membership Corporation, 25-44	0	0	0	0					
	Totals	2	2	0	2					
	Prior Rep	orting I	Periods							
	Report Title	# of Recs.	Mgt. Decisions	Final Action Complete Prior Period	Final Action Complete This Period					
1	Infinity Visual and Performing Arts, Inc., 24-32	4	4	0	4					
2	Southern Highlands Community Mental Health Center, Inc., 24-40	5	5	0	5					
3	Western NC Housing Partnership (WNCHP), 25-01	2	2	0	2					
4	West Virginia Rural Water Association (WVRWA), 25-14	5	5	2	3					
5	West Virginia Development Office (WVDO), 25-16	1	1	0	1					
	Totals	17	17	2	15					

**Appendix A: Inspector General's Tables** 

### Table 8: Status of Audit Reports Issued Without Final Action

This table provides the status of audit reports with recommendations where final action has not been completed. The information is subdivided by audit reports issued during this reporting period and audit reports issued in prior reporting periods.

	Status of Audit Reports Issued Without Final Action								
	This Reporting Period								
	Report Title	# of Recs.	Mgt. Decisions	Decisions IG Disagrees With	Final Action Complete	Final Action Not Complete			
1	LENOWISCO Planning District Commission, 25-26	3	3	0	0	3			
2	Millwald Theatre Inc., 25-27	4	4	0	0	4			
3	South Cumberland Utility District, 25-28	2	2	0	0	2			
4	Cocke County Partnership, Inc., 25-30	5	5	0	0	5			
5	Keystone College, 25-31	4	4	0	0	4			
6	Erie Area Council of Governments, 25-32	2	2	0	0	2			
7	Southern Tier West Regional Planning and Development Board, 25-34	4	4	0	0	4			
8	Appalachian Community Capital, 25-37	3	3	0	0	3			
9	Challenger Learning Center of Kentucky, 25-38	1	1	0	0	1			
10	Hamot Health Foundation, 25-39	1	1	0	0	1			
11	Pollen8, 25-40	2	2	0	0	2			
	Totals	31	31	0	0	31			
	Pr	ior Rep	orting Perio	ods					
	Report Title	# of Recs.	Mgt. Decisions	Final Action Complete Prior Periods	Final Action Complete This Period	Final Action Not Complete			
1	Fentress County, 25-08	2	2	0	0	2			
2	Fort Payne-DeKalb County Entrepreneurial Center, 25-10	3	3	0	0	3			
3	O.S. Johnson Technical Institute d/b/a Johnson College, 25-11	4	4	0	0	4			
4	Kentucky Equine Education Project (KEEP) Foundation, 25-12	3	3	0	0	3			
5	Cattaraugus-Allegany BOCES, 25-13	1	1	0	0	1			

**Appendix A: Inspector General's Tables** 

6	Perry County Fiscal Court, 25-15	3	3	0	0	3
7	Town of Lebanon, 25-18	5	5	0	0	5
8	Augusta Levy Learning Center (ALLC), 25-21	2	2	0	0	2
	Totals	23	23	0	0	23

Table 9: Statistical Table of Investigative Reports

The table below provides statistical information related to investigative reports issued during the reporting period.

Statistical Table of Investigative Reports					
Description	Count				
Number of investigative reports issued	1				
Number of persons referred to DOJ for criminal prosecution					
Number of persons referred to state and local authorities for criminal prosecution					
Number of indictments and criminal information resulting from any prior referrals to prosecuting authorities.	0				
Number of convictions during the reporting period resulting from investigations					
The information in this table is derived from the Office of Inspector General's investigation reports.					

**Appendix B: Commissioner's Statistical Tables** 

#### Table A: Management Decisions Made for Recommendations from Prior Periods

The table below identifies each report issued during prior reporting periods in which management decisions were made during this reporting period, along with the amount of questioned costs and funds put to better use. The allowability of questioned costs is determined through the management decision process and is not reported until final action has been completed.

At the commencement of this reporting period, the Commission had made management decisions for all recommendations for all reports issued in prior reporting periods.

	Management Decisions Made for Recommendations from Prior Periods						
	Report Number	Prior Period Recommendations without Management Decisions	Management Decisions Made in This Period	Questioned Costs	Funds Put to Better Use		
-	-	-	-	-	-		
Total							

Table B: Recommendations with Final Action Completed During this Reporting Period

The table below provides statistical information on the recommendations with questioned costs where final action was completed during this reporting period. The table provides the total dollar value of the allowed costs and disallowed costs for the reporting period.

Recommendations with Final Action Completed During this Reporting Period						
Description	Total	Dollar Value				
Recommendations with Final Action Completed	10	\$160,379				
Dollar value of allowed costs (ARC)		\$159,545				
Dollar value of disallowed costs, recovered by management.		\$834				
<ul> <li>Dollar value of disallowed costs written off by management.</li> </ul>		\$0				
<ul> <li>Dollar value of disallowed costs from this reporting period, not yet recovered.</li> </ul>		\$0				
<ul> <li>Dollar value of disallowed costs from prior reporting periods, not yet recovered.</li> </ul>		\$100,000				
Recommendations that management has subsequently concluded should not or could not be implemented or completed.	0	\$0				

**Appendix B: Commissioner's Statistical Tables** 

#### Table C: Status of Reports with Questioned Costs

The tables below provide statistical information related to reports with questioned costs. The first table identifies the number of reports with questioned costs for both prior periods and the current period. The second table describes the status of those reports with questioned costs and funds to be put to better use. The table details the total dollar value of allowed costs, disallowed costs, and funds to be put to better use as identified in the management decision. In cases where a management decision has not been received, the dollar value shown is the amount identified in the report.

Reports with Questioned Costs						
Description	Number of Reports	Questioned Costs	Funds Put to Better Use			
Prior period reports with questioned costs at the beginning of the reporting period	10	\$814,585	\$0			
Reports issued this period with questioned costs	7	\$1,373,072	\$0			
<b>Total Reports with Questioned Costs</b>	17	\$2,187,657	\$0			

Status of Reports with Questioned Costs							
Description	Number of Reports	Questioned Costs	Allowed Costs <sup>1</sup>	Disallowed Costs	Funds Put to Better Use		
Prior period reports with final action completed during this reporting period	3	\$150,379	\$149,545	\$834	\$0		
Reports issued during this reporting period with final action completed	1	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$0	\$0		
Reports without final action completed	13	\$2,027,278			\$0		
Totals	17	\$2,187,657	\$159,545	\$834	<b>\$</b> 0		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Allowed costs include questioned costs identified at the time of audit that were resolved by correcting errors on financial reports submitted to ARC. These costs are typically related to matching requirements and in-kind contributions and do not require a recovery by ARC.

**Appendix B: Commissioner's Statistical Tables** 

### Table D: Prior Year Management Decisions Without Final Action

The table below identifies each report from prior periods, where management decisions were made in the preceding year, but final action has not been taken.

The Commission has completed final action on all management decisions made in the preceding year.

Prior Year Management Decisions Without Final Action							
Report	Date Issued	Disallowed Costs	Funds Put to Better Use	Reason Final Action has Not Been Taken			
N/A	-	-	-	-			